

3250 W. Pleasant Run, Suite 125 Lancaster, TX 75146-1069 Ph 972-825-7231 Fax 972-274-9022

DATE OF REVIEW: 5/13/15

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

The item in dispute is the prospective medical necessity of Bilateral Transforaminal Epidural Injection L5

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

⊠Upheld	(Agree)
Overturned	(Disagree)
Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)
The reviewer agrees with the provious adverse determination regar	

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the Bilateral Transforaminal Epidural Injection L5

A copy of the ODG was not provided by the Carrier/URA for this review.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

is a male with low back pain and bilateral leg pain, reportedly following a lifting injury on or around xx. He has been treated with oral medications and has had previous epidural steroid injections and transforaminal steroid injections. The injections were minimally beneficial for pain reduction, each achieving only 15 to 20 percent relief. Physical therapy has also been utilized. A request is made for bilateral transforaminal epidural steroid injections at L5.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

ODG, in its "Low Back" chapter allows for the use of epidural steroid injections to reduce pain and inflammation to allow active treatment programs, reduce medication use and avoid surgery. Repeat injections are not suggested when the pain relief from the initial injection is less than 30 percent. In this case, previous bilateral transforaminal and epidural steroid injections have not achieved this level of relief. As a result, the request for repeat bilateral transforaminal epidural steroid injections would not meet these criteria and the previous adverse determination should be upheld.

ODG allows for the use of epidural steroid injections with the following criteria:

Note: The purpose of ESI is to reduce pain and inflammation, thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, reduction of medication use and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term functional benefit.

- (1) Radiculopathy (due to herniated nucleus pulposus, but not spinal stenosis) must be documented. Objective findings on examination need to be present. Radiculopathy must be corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing.
- (2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs, muscle relaxants & neuropathic drugs).
- (3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) and injection of contrast for guidance.
- (4) Diagnostic Phase: At the time of initial use of an ESI (formally referred to as the "diagnostic phase" as initial injections indicate whether success will be obtained with this treatment intervention), a maximum of one to two injections should be performed. A repeat block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block (< 30% is a standard placebo response). A second block is also not indicated if the first block is accurately placed unless: (a) there is a question of the pain generator; (b) there was possibility of inaccurate placement; or (c) there is evidence of multilevel pathology. In these cases a different level or approach might be proposed. There should be an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections.
- (5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks.
- (6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session.
- (7) Therapeutic phase: If after the initial block/blocks are given (see "Diagnostic Phase" above) and found to produce pain relief of at least 50-70% pain relief for at least 6-8 weeks, additional blocks may be supported. This is generally referred to as the "therapeutic phase." Indications for repeat blocks include acute exacerbation of pain, or new onset of radicular symptoms. The general consensus recommendation is for no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007)
- (8) Repeat injections should be based on continued objective documented pain relief, decreased need for pain medications, and functional response.
- (9) Current research does not support a routine use of a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections for the initial phase and rarely more than 2 for therapeutic treatment.
- (10) It is currently not recommended to perform epidural blocks on the same day of treatment

as facet blocks or sacroiliac blocks or lumbar sympathetic blocks or trigger point injections as this may lead to improper diagnosis or unnecessary treatment.

(11) Cervical and lumbar epidural steroid injection should not be performed on the same day. (Doing both injections on the same day could result in an excessive dose of steroids, which can be dangerous, and not worth the risk for a treatment that has no long-term benefit.)

Additionally, epidural steroid injections performed as diagnostic transforaminal injections are allowable with the following indications:

- 1) To determine the level of radicular pain, in cases where diagnostic imaging is ambiguous, including the examples below:
- 2) To help to evaluate a radicular pain generator when physical signs and symptoms differ from that found on imaging studies;
- 3) To help to determine pain generators when there is evidence of multi-level nerve root compression;
- 4) To help to determine pain generators when clinical findings are consistent with radiculopathy (e.g., dermatomal distribution) but imaging studies are inconclusive;
- 5) To help to identify the origin of pain in patients who have had previous spinal surgery.

Α	DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER
CI	LINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
	☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL
	MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
	☐ AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
	☐ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
	☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
	■ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
	☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
	☐ PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
	☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE
	PARAMETERS
	TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
	☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A
	DESCRIPTION)
	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED SCIENTIFICALLY VALID OUTCOME

FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)